



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/647,924	10/31/2000	Hiroyoshi Hidaka	198323US0PCT	6890
22850	7590	05/27/2004	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			TRAN, MY CHAU T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1639	

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/647,924

Applicant(s)

HIDAKA ET AL.

Examiner

MY-CHAU T TRAN

Art Unit

1639

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 March 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 5-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 5-13, 15 and 16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Applicant's amendment filed 3/1/04 is acknowledged and entered. Claim 3 has been canceled. Claims 5 and 14 have been amended.
2. Claims 2, and 4 are canceled, and claims 15-16 are added by the amendment filed on 6/30/03.
3. Claim 1 is canceled, and claims 5-14 are added by the amendment filed on 5/8/02.
4. Claims 5-16 are pending.
5. This application is a 371 of PCT/JP98/01712 filed 4/15/1998.

Election/Restrictions

6. Claim 14 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to *a nonelected species*, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper filed 8/30/02 and 10/9/02.
7. Applicant has elected the following species for the elected invention (Claims 5-16):
 - a. A species of antigenic substance is serum albumin.
 - b. A species of chemical cross-linker is glutaraldehyde.

- COc1ccc(cc1)S(=O)(=O)Nc2ccccc2C=Cc3ccncc3.Cl[N+]([O-])=O.Cl[N+]([O-])=O

Withdrawn Objections and Rejections

8. In view of applicant's amendments of claim 5 and cancellation of claim 3, the previous objection has been withdrawn.
9. In view of applicant's amendments of claim 5, the previous rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph (written description rejection) has been withdrawn.
10. In view of applicant's amendments of claim 5, the rejection of claims 3, 5, 7, and 15 under 35 USC 102(b) as anticipated by Gram et al. (*Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **1992**, 89:3576-3580) has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Pecht et al. (US Patent 4,683,135) and Barbas III et al. (*Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **1991**, 88:7978-7982).
11. Claims 5-13, and 15-16 are treated on the merit in this Office Action.

Art Unit: 1639

New Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

13. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

14. Claims 5-10, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gram et al. (*Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **1992**, 89:3576-3580), Pecht et al. (US Patent 4,683,135), and the specification disclosure on page 3, lines 19-22.

Gram et al. disclose a method for *in vitro* detection of a gene encoding a drug-targeted protein (Abstract; pg. 3578, left col., line 19 to right col. line 4). The method comprises the phage displaying low affinity Fabs binding to a progesterone-bovine serum albumin conjugate (drug-serum albumin) were isolated from the library (pg. 3578, left col., line 19 to right col. line 4; pg. 3577, left col., lines 44-62). The drug-targeted protein comprise of progesterone-bovine

Art Unit: 1639

serum albumin wherein the progesterone bind to the bovine serum albumin via a linker comprising 3-(*O*-carboxymethyl)oxime (pg. 3577, left col., lines 47-48). The phage display comprises *Escherichia coli* (pg. 3577, left col., lines 39-43) (refers to claims 6 and 15). The library comprises murine cDNA expression library (pg. 3577, left col., lines 1-34) (refers to claim 7). Additionally with regards to claims 8-10, the type of cDNA expression library would be a choice of experimental design and is considered within the purview of the cited prior art.

The method of Gram et al. does not expressly include the chemical cross-linker such as glutaraldehyde as the linker that couples the drug to the antigenic substance.

Pecht et al. disclose the method of forming a drug-BSA conjugate (col. 4, lines 13-26). The method comprises using glutaraldehyde as a bifunctional reagent to couple the drug to BSA (bovine serum albumin).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the chemical cross-linker such as glutaraldehyde as the linker that couples the drug to the antigenic substance as taught by Pecht et al. in the method of Pecht et al.. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include the chemical cross-linker such as glutaraldehyde as the linker that couples the drug to the antigenic substance in the method of Gram et al. because the type linker use to couple the drug to an antigenic substance would be a choice of experimental design and is considered within the purview of the cited prior art. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have reasonable expectation of success in the teaching of Gram et al. and Pecht et al. because both disclose using a drug-BSA conjugate to bind to an antibody (Gram: pg. 3578, right col., lines 1-4; Pecht: col. 4, lines 49-68).

Additionally, the instant specification on page 3 discloses that "[N]o particular limitation is imposed on the chemical cross-linkers so long as they provide a group which cross-links a functional group of the drug and a functional group of the antigenic substance" (lines 19-22). Thus it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to use different type of bifunctional linkers to couple the drug to an antigenic substance such that it would be a choice of experimental design.

15. Claims 11-12, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gram et al. (*Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **1992**, 89:3576-3580) Pecht et al. (US Patent 4,683,135), and the specification disclosure on page 3, lines 19-22 as applied to claims 5-10, and 15 above, and further in view of Barbas III et al. (*Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **1991**, 88:7978-7982).

Gram et al. disclose a method for *in vitro* detection of a gene encoding a drug-targeted protein (Abstract; pg. 3578, left col., line 19 to right col. line 4). The method comprises the phage displaying low affinity Fabs binding to a progesterone-bovine serum albumin conjugate (drug-serum albumin) were isolated from the library (pg. 3578, left col., line 19 to right col. line 4; pg. 3577, left col., lines 44-62). The phage display comprises *Escherichia coli* (pg. 3577, left col., lines 39-43) (refers to claims 6 and 15). The library comprises murine cDNA expression library (pg. 3577, left col., lines 1-34) (refers to claim 7). Additionally with regards to claims 8-10, the type of cDNA expression library would be a choice of experimental design and is considered within the purview of the cited prior art. Both Gram et al. and Pecht et al. disclose using a drug-BSA conjugate to bind to an antibody (Gram: pg. 3578, right col., lines 1-4; Pecht: col. 4, lines 49-68). Gram et al. disclose drug-targeted protein comprise of progesterone-bovine serum albumin, wherein the progesterone bind to the bovine serum albumin via a linker

Art Unit: 1639

comprising 3-(*O*-carboxymethyl)oxime. Pecht et al. disclose the method of forming a drug-BSA conjugate wherein glutaraldehyde is used as a bifunctional reagent to couple the drug to BSA (bovine serum albumin) (col. 4, lines 13-26).

Additionally, the specification on page 3 stated that "[N]o particular limitation is imposed on the chemical cross-linkers so long as they provide a group which cross-links a functional group of the drug and a functional group of the antigenic substance" (lines 19-22). Thus, the type of linker use to couple the drug to BSA would be a choice of experimental design and is considered within the purview of the cited prior art.

The combination of Gram et al. and Pecht et al. does not expressly include employing a membrane to capture phage from plated phage culture.

Barbas III et al. disclose a method of colony screening of panned libraries (pg. 7979, right col., lines 12-27). The method comprises using nitrocellulose filters (membrane) with isopropyl β -D-thiogalactopyranoside to capture the phage from plated phage culture (pg. 7979, right col., lines 12-16) (refers to claims 11-12, and 16).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include employing a membrane to capture phage from plated phage culture as taught by Barbas III et al. in the method of Gram et al. and Pecht et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include employing a membrane to capture phage from plated phage culture in the method of Gram et al. and Pecht et al. because Gram et al. incorporated the method of Barbas III et al. by reference into the disclosed colony screening method of panned libraries (Gram: pg. 3577, left col., lines 57-60). Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have reasonably expectation of success in the combination of Gram et al.,

Art Unit: 1639

Pecht et al., and Barbas III et al. because Gram et al. uses Barbas III et al. colony screening method of panned libraries (Gram: pg. 3577, left col., lines 57-60).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MY-CHAU T TRAN whose telephone number is 571-272-0810. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.: 8:00-2:30; Tues.-Thurs.: 7:30-5:00; Fri.: 8:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANDREW WANG can be reached on 571-272-0811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mct
May 21, 2004


PADMASHRI PONNALURI
PRIMARY EXAMINER